arXiv:0803.1522v1 [math.DS] 11 Mar 2008

BIRKHOFF SPECTRA FOR ONE-DIMENSIONAL MAPS WITH SOME HYPERBOLICITY

YONG MOO CHUNG

Abstract. We study the multifractal analysis for smooth dynamical systems in dimension one. It is characterized the Hausdorff dimension of the level set obtained from the Birkhoff averages of a continuous function by the local dimensions of hyperbolic measures for a topologically mixing C^2 map modelled by an abstract dynamical system. A characterization which corresponds to above is also given for the ergodic basins of invariant probability measures. And it is shown that the complement of the set of quasi-regular points carries full Hausdorff dimension.

1. Introduction

Multifractal analysis is a theory to understand phenomena associated with more than one scaling symmetry, and a practical tool for the numerical study of dynamical systems. The purpose of mathematical study of multifractal analysis is to investigate the multifractal spectra, that is the quantities concerning with the level sets obtained from invariant local quantities. We refer to [13] for a general account of the subject. The multifractal spectra is well understood for conformal (or low dimensional) hyperbolic dynamical systems [12, 14, 15]. Also, some of solid mathematical results are known for some class of smooth one-dimensinal maps with indifferent periodic points such as the Manneville-Pomeau maps [7, 11, 16]. Takens and Verbitskiy gave a variational principle concerning with the entropy spectra for Birkhoff averages by assuming the specification property for dynamical systems [18]. However, it seems that there are no rigorous results on the dimension spectra for smooth maps with critical points such as logistic maps. This paper is devoted to study the dimension spectra for the Birkhoff averages of smooth interval maps without assuming uniform hyperbolicity.

Let I be a compact interval of the real line $\boldsymbol{R}$ and $f : I \to I$ a C^2 map. For a repeller Λ for f, it is known that $\dim_H(\Lambda)$, the Hausdorff dimension of Λ, is given by the unique solution δ of the Bowen equation $P_\Lambda(\delta \cdot \log |f'|) = 0$, where P_Λ denotes the topological pressure for the restriction of f to Λ [2],

---

Date: February 5, 2008. *Last modified:* February 25, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 37C45; Secondary 37D25, 37E05.

Key words and phrases. dimension spectra, Birkhoff average, local dimension, hyperbolic measure.

and then it is obtained a dimension formula

$$\dim_H(\Lambda) = \sup\left\{ \frac{h_\mu(f)}{\int \log|f'|d\mu} : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_f, \mu(\Lambda) = 1 \right\} \tag{1}$$

by the variational principle [19], where $\mathcal{M}_f$ denotes the set of the f-invariant Borel probability measures on I and $h_\mu(f)$ the metric entropy of $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f$. Notice that the supremum is attained by an ergodic measure. In this paper we give a formula which corresponds to (1) for the level sets obtained by the Birkhoff averages of continuous functions for a class of smooth interval maps modelled by abstract dynamical systems.

We need some notions and facts to state our results precisely. For a continuous function $\varphi : I \to \boldsymbol{R}$ we denote by $B_\varphi(\alpha)$ the set of points $x \in I$ such that $S_n\varphi(x)/n$ converges to $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{R}$ as $n \to \infty$, where

$$S_n\varphi(x) := \varphi(x) + \varphi(f(x)) + \cdots + \varphi(f^{n-1}(x)).$$

Then the phase space I is decomposed into the level sets $B_\varphi(\alpha)$ as follows:

$$I = \left(\bigsqcup_{\alpha \in \boldsymbol{R}} B_\varphi(\alpha) \right) \sqcup I_\varphi$$

where I_φ denotes the irregular set for φ, that is the set of points $x \in I$ such that $S_n\varphi(x)/n$ does not converge as $n \to \infty$. We say that $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f$ is *hyperbolic* if the Lyapunov exponent $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log|(f^n)'(x)|$ is positive for μ-almost every $x \in I$. If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f$ is ergodic then the Lyapunov exponent is constant μ-almost everywhere, and the constant is given by

$$\lambda_\mu(f) := \int \log|f'|d\mu.$$

We call $\lambda_\mu(f)$ *the Lyapunov exponent* of μ, and denote by $\mathcal{H}_f$ the set of f-invariant ergodic probability measures μ which is hyperbolic, i.e. $\lambda_\mu(f) > 0$. The Ruelle inequality [17] asserts that

$$\lambda_\mu(f) \geq h_\mu(f)$$

holds whenever $h_\mu(f) > 0$, and hence any ergodic measure with positive metric entropy is hyperbolic. Moreover, a theory for hyperbolic measures [4, 8, 9] asserts the following:

Proposition 1. *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{H}_f$. Then for any $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_p \in C(I)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there are an integer $k \geq 1$, a compact interval $L \subset I$ and a family $\mathcal{K}$ of pairwise disjoint compact intervals with $K \subset L = f^k(K)$ for each $K \in \mathcal{K}$ such that*

$$(\log \sharp\mathcal{K})/k \geq h_\mu(f) - \varepsilon, \quad |\frac{1}{k}\log|(f^k)'(x)| - \lambda_\mu(f)| \leq \varepsilon$$

and

$$|\frac{1}{k}S_k\varphi_j(x) - \int \varphi d\mu| \leq \varepsilon \ (j = 1, \dots, p)$$

for all $x \in \sqcup_{K\in\mathcal{K}} K$, *where* $C(I)$ *denotes the space of the continuous functions on* I, *and* $\sharp A$ *the cardinality of a set* A.

For $\mu \in \mathcal{H}_f$ we call the quantity $D(\mu)$ given by

$$D(\mu) := \frac{h_\mu(f)}{\lambda_\mu(f)}$$

the local dimension of μ, because

$$D(\mu) = \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{\log \mu([x-r, x+r])}{\log r}$$

holds for μ-almost every $x \in I$ [6, 10]. Then it follows that

$$\dim_H(\mu) = D(\mu)$$

where $\dim_H(\mu)$ denotes *the Hausdorff dimension of* μ defined by

$$\dim_H(\mu) := \inf\{\dim_H(Y) : Y \subset I \text{ is a Borel set with } \mu(Y) = 1\}$$

[20]. Notice that $D(\mu) = 1$ holds if $\mu \in \mathcal{H}_f$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. We say that a map $f : I \to I$ is *topologically mixing* if for any nontrivial interval $L \subset I$, $f^k(L) = I$ holds whenever $k \geq 1$ is large. Throughout this paper we assume that $f : I \to I$ is topologically mixing. Then the following lemma concerning with the structure of the set of hyperbolic measures is established:

Lemma 2. *Let* $f : I \to I$ *be a topologically mixing* C^2 *map. Then ergodic measures are dense in the set of hyperbolic measures with positive metric entropies, i.e., for any hyperbolic* $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f$ *with* $h_\mu(f) > 0$, $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_p \in C(I)$ *and small* $\varepsilon > 0$ *there exists* $\nu \in \mathcal{H}_f$ *such that*

$$|\int \varphi_j d\nu - \int \varphi_j d\mu| \leq \varepsilon$$

for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, p$. *Moreover, the measure* ν *can be taken to satisfy*

$$h_\nu(f) \geq h_\mu(f) - \varepsilon \quad \textit{and} \quad \lambda_\nu(f) \leq \int \log |f'| d\mu + \varepsilon.$$

We need more assumptions on the map f concerning with both of recurrence times and nonuniform hyperbolicity to establish a dimension formula for the level sets obtained by Birkhoff averages. We say that a function $R : J \to \boldsymbol{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ is *a return time function* with the base set $J \subset I$ if $f^{R(x)}(x) \in J$ holds whenever $x \in J$ and $R(x) < \infty$. Here, the function R is not necessary to be the first return time to J. We assume that the map $f : I \to I$ has a return time function $R : J \to \boldsymbol{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ with a compact interval $J \subset I$ as the base set satisfying the following properties:

(H1) there is a constant $\lambda > 1$ such that for any $n \geq 1$ if V is a connected component of $\{x \in J : R(x) = n\}$, then

$$f^n V = J \quad \text{and} \quad |(f^n)'(x)| \geq \lambda \ \ (x \in V);$$

(H2) there is a sequence $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of positive numbers which converges to zero as $k \to \infty$ such that for any $n \geq 1$ if A is a connected component of

$$\{x \in J : R(x) = k_1,\ R(f^{k_1}(x)) = k_2,\ \dots\ ,\ R(f^{k_1+\cdots+k_{l-2}}(x)) = k_{l-1},\ R(f^{k_1+\cdots+k_{l-1}}(x)) \geq k_l\}$$

for some integers $k_1, \dots, k_l \geq 1$ with $k_1 + \cdots + k_l = n$, then

$$|f^i(A)| \leq \varepsilon_{n-i}$$

holds for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, where $|A|$ denotes the length of an interval $|A|$;

(H3) there are a constant $C \geq 1$ and a sequence $\{\eta_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of positive numbers which converges to zero as $k \to \infty$ such that for any $n \geq 1$ if B is a connected component of

$$\{x \in J : R(x) = k_1,\ R(f^{k_1}(x)) = k_2,\ \dots\ ,\ R(f^{k_1+\cdots+k_{l-1}}(x)) = k_l\}$$

for some integers $k_1, \dots, k_l \geq 1$ with $k_1 + \cdots + k_l = n$, then

$$\frac{|(f^n)'(y)|}{|(f^n)'(z)|} \leq C \quad \textit{and} \quad \frac{|(f^{k_1})'(y)|}{|(f^{k_1})'(z)|} \leq e^{\eta_l}$$

hold for all $y, z \in B$;

(H4) there are an integer $l_0 \geq 1$ and a constant $\gamma_0 > 0$ such that for any $n \geq 1$ if U is a connected component of $\{x \in J : R(x) > n\}$, then

$$m\left(\{x \in U : R(x) \leq n + l_0\}\right) \geq \gamma_0 m(U).$$

A Collet-Eckmann unimodal map is an example which has a return time function satisfying the assumptions mentioned above [21]. A smooth interval map satisfying these assumptions is modelled by an abstract dynamical system on a tower having bounded slope [5]. Then, the tail of the tower decays exponentially fast, and then, an absolutely continuous invariant probability measure exists and it satisfies the exponential decay of correlations [21]. Moreover, the abstract dynamical system obtained from these assumptions has a kind of specification property, and from which it is shown the large deviation principle [5]. The main result of this paper is the following:

Theorem 3 (the dimension spectra for Birkhoff averages)**.** *Let $f : I \to I$ be a topologically mixing C^2 map and assume that there is a return time function $R : J \to \boldsymbol{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ satisfying (H1)-(H4). Then for any $\varphi \in C(I)$*

$$\dim_H(G_\varphi(\alpha)) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} \sup{}^+ \Big\{D(\nu) : \nu \in \mathcal{H}_f, |\int \varphi d\nu - \alpha| < \varepsilon\Big\}$$

holds for all $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{R}$, where $\sup^+ A := \max\{\sup A, 0\}$ *for a set $A \subset \boldsymbol{R}$.*

Remark. The upper regularization in the right-hand side of the formula above is not removable without assuming uniform hyperbolicity, because the local dimension $D(\nu)$ may not be upper semicontinuous as a function of

$\nu \in \mathcal{H}_f$. In fact, the Lyapunov exponent $\lambda_\nu(f)$ is not lower semicontinuous for ν if $f : I \to I$ is a Collet-Eckmann unimodal map [3].

It is also considerable the dimension spectra for the distributions along the orbits of dynamical systems. A point $x \in I$ is called *quasi-regular for f* if the sequence of the empirical distributions

$$\delta_x^n := \frac{1}{n}(\delta_x + \delta_{f(x)} + \cdots + \delta_{f^{n-1}(x)}) \in \mathcal{M}$$

converges to an invariant probability measure in the weak* toplogy as $n \to \infty$, where $\mathcal{M}$ denotes the space of the Borel probability measures on I, and $\delta_y \in \mathcal{M}$ the Dirac measure supported on $y \in I$. We denote by $QR(f)$ the set of the quasi-regular points for f. For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f$ we call the set

$$B(\mu) := \{x \in I : \lim_{n\to\infty} \delta_x^n = \mu\}$$

the ergodic basin of μ. The set of the quasi-regular points is decomposed into the ergodic basins of invariant probability measures as follows:

$$QR(f) = \bigsqcup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f} B(\mu).$$

The next result of this paper asserts that the Hausdorff dimension of the ergodic basin for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f$ is represented by the local dimension of the hyperbolic ergodic measures close to μ. It is the following:

Theorem 4 (the Hausdorff dimension of the ergodic basin)**.** *Let $f : I \to I$ be as in Theorem 3. Then for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f$*

$$\dim_H(B(\mu)) = \inf_{\mathcal{U}} \sup\{D(\nu) : \nu \in \mathcal{H}_f \cap \mathcal{U}\}$$

holds, where the infimum is taken over all of the neighborhoods $\mathcal{U}$ of μ in $\mathcal{M}$.

Remark. Neither the ergodicity nor the hyperbolicity is assumed for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f$ in the theorem above. Thus the local dimension may not be defined for μ itself.

It is well-known that if $f : I \to I$ is topologically mixing, then the complement of $QR(f)$ belongs to the first category and it is a null set for any invariant probability measure. However, it is not a small set from the view point of dimension theory. Another result of this paper corresponds to that obtained for uniformly expanding conformal maps by Barreira and Schmeling [1]:

Theorem 5 (the Hausdorff dimension of the irregular set)**.** *Let $f : I \to I$ be as in Theorem 3. Then*

$$\dim_H(I \setminus QR(f)) = 1$$

holds.

Acknowledgement. This paper was written while the author was visiting to the Pennsylvania State University by a support of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan. He is grateful to Professors Ya. Pesin and O. Sarig for their hospitality and for helpful conversertions. He also thanks to Professor N. Sumi for many fruitful discussions.

2. PROOF OF LEMMA 2

In this section we prove Lemma 2. Let $f : I \to I$ be a topologically mixing C^2 map and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f$ hyperbolic with $h_\mu(f) > 0$. Without loss of generality we assume that μ is a linear combination $\mu = c_1\nu_1 + \cdots + c_q\nu_q$ of $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_q \in \mathcal{H}_f$ such that $c_i = l_i/l$ $(i = 1, \ldots, q)$ for some integers $l, l_1, \ldots, l_q \geq 1$ with $l_1 + \cdots + l_q = l$, because any hyperbolic measure is approximated by a measure of this form. Let $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_p \in C(I)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. For each $i = 1, \ldots, q$ by Proposition 1 there are an integer $k_i \geq 1$, a compact interval $L_i \subset I$ and a family $\mathcal{K}_i$ of pairwise disjoint compact intervals with $K \subset L_i = f^{k_i}(K)$ for all $K \in \mathcal{K}_i$ such that

$$(\log \sharp\mathcal{K}_i)/k_i \geq h_i - \varepsilon/2, \quad |\frac{1}{k_i} \log |(f^{k_i})'(x)| - \lambda_i| \leq \varepsilon/2$$

and

$$|\frac{1}{k_i} S_{k_i}\varphi_j(x) - \int \varphi_j d\mu| \leq \varepsilon/2 \quad (j = 1, \ldots, p)$$

for all $x \in \sqcup_{K\in\mathcal{K}_i} K$, where $h_i := h_{\nu_i}(f)$ and $\lambda_i := \int \log |f'| d\nu_i$. We assume that $k_1 = k_2 = \cdots = k_q$ and that $f^{k_1}L_i = I$ holds for $i = 1, \ldots, q$ without loss of generality by taking the iterations of f if necessary. For each $i = 1, \ldots, q$ and an integer $s \geq 1$ we set

$$\mathcal{P}_i(s) := \Big\{ P = \bigcap_{j=0}^{s-1} f^{-jk_1} K_j : K_0, \ldots, K_{s-1} \in \mathcal{K}_i \Big\}.$$

Then for any $P \in \mathcal{P}_i(s)$ we have $f^{sk_1}P = L_i$, and hence $f^{(s+1)k_1}P = I$. Fix a large integer $n \geq 1$, and let

$$\mathcal{Q} := \Big\{ Q = \bigcap_{i=1}^{q} f^{-m_i} P_i : P_i \in \mathcal{P}_i(nl_i) \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, q \Big\},$$

where $m_i := k_1 \sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(nl_j + 1)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, q$. Remark that $f^{(nl+q)k_1}Q = I$ holds for each $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Now we put

$$\Lambda := \bigcap_{l=0}^{\infty} f^{-(nl+q)k_1} \Big(\bigsqcup_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}} Q \Big).$$

Then it is a compact $f^{(nl+q)k_1}$-invariant set, and the restriction of $f^{(nl+q)k_1}$ to Λ is semiconjugate to a full-shift of $\sharp\mathcal{Q}$-symbols. Thus the topological entropy $h(f^{(nl+q)k_1}|_\Lambda)$ is estimated from below as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} h(f^{(nl+q)k_1}|_\Lambda) &\geq \log \sharp\mathcal{Q} = \sum_{i=1}^{q} nl_i \cdot \log \sharp\mathcal{K}_i \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{q} nl_i k_i (h_i - \varepsilon/2) = nlk_1(h_\mu(f) - \varepsilon/2), \end{aligned}$$

and then, by the variational principle for entropy [19] we obtain an f-invariant Borel probability measure ν with $\nu(\cup_{i=0}^{(nl+q)k_1-1} f^i \Lambda) = 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} h_\nu(f) &\geq \{h(f^{(nl+q)k_1}|_\Lambda) - \varepsilon/4\}/\{(nl+q)k_1\} \\ &\geq nlk_1(h_\mu(f) - 3\varepsilon/4)/\{(nl+q)k_1\} \\ &\geq h_\mu(f) - \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

since $n \geq 1$ is large. The measure ν above can be taken as ergodic by considering the ergodic decomposion if necessary. Then by the Ruelle inequality we have

$$\lambda_\nu(f) \geq h_\nu(f) \geq h_\mu(f) - \varepsilon > 0,$$

and hence $\nu \in \mathcal{H}_f$, if $\varepsilon > 0$ is small. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \log|(f^{(nl+q)k_1})'(x)| &= \sum_{i=1}^{q} \log|(f^{(nl_i+1)k_1})'(f^{m_i}(x))| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{q} \{nl_i k_1(\lambda_i + \varepsilon/2) + k_1 \cdot \max_{y\in I} \log|f'(y)|\} \\ &= nlk_1(\int \log|f'|d\mu + \varepsilon/2) + qk_1 \cdot \max_{y \in I} \log|f'(y)| \\ &\leq (nl+q)k_1(\int \log|f'|d\mu + \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

holds whenever $x \in \Lambda$, because $n \geq 1$ is large. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\nu(f) &= \int \log|f'|d\nu \\ &\leq \max_{x\in\Lambda} \frac{1}{(nl+q)k_1} \log|(f^{(nl+q)k_1})'(x)| \\ &\leq \int \log|f'|d\mu + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|S_{(nl+q)k_1}\varphi_j(x) - (nl+q)k_1 \int \varphi_j d\mu| \\
&\qquad \le \sum_{i=1}^{q} |S_{nl_ik_1}\varphi_j(f^{m_i}(x)) - nl_ik_1 \int \varphi_j d\nu_i| + 2qk_1 \max_{y\in I} |\varphi_j(y)| \\
&\qquad \le \sum_{i=1}^{q} nl_ik_1\varepsilon/2 + 2qk_1 \max_{y\in I} |\varphi_j(y)| \\
&\qquad \le (nl+q)k_1\varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

holds whenever $x \in \varLambda$, and from which

$$|\int \varphi_j d\nu - \int \varphi_j d\mu| \le \varepsilon$$

for each $j = 1, \ldots, p$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

3. Proofs of Theorems

Let $f : I \to I$ be a topologically mixing C^2 map with a return time function $R : J \to \boldsymbol{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ satisfying the assumptions (H1)-(H4). The proofs of Theorems 3 and 4 are reduced to two propositions mentioned below. The one of them concerning with the variational principle gives an upper estimate of the dimension spectra for Birkhoff averages.

Proposition 6. *Let* $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_p \in C(I)$ *and* $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_p \in \boldsymbol{R}$*. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim_H \Big\{x \in I : \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} S_n\varphi_j(x) = \alpha_j \ \ (j = 1, 2, \ldots, p)\Big\} \\
&\le \sup{}^+ \Big\{D(\nu) : \nu \in \mathcal{H}_f, |\int \varphi_j d\nu - \alpha_j| < \varepsilon \ \ (j = 1, 2, \ldots, p)\Big\}
\end{aligned}$$

holds whenever $\varepsilon > 0$.

The assumptions on the return time function is necessary for this proposition. Another proposition obtained from Moran-like geometric constructions [13] gives a lower estimate of the dimension spectra for Birkhoff averages.

Proposition 7. *Let* $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots \in C(I)$ *and* $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots \in \boldsymbol{R}$*. Assume that a sequence* $\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{H}_f$ *satisfies*

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \max_{1\le j\le p} |\int \varphi_j d\nu_p - \alpha_j| = 0.$$

Then

$$\dim_H \Big\{x \in I : \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} S_n\varphi_j(x) = \alpha_j \ \ (j = 1, 2, \ldots)\Big\} \ge \limsup_{p\to\infty} D(\nu_p).$$

Theorem 3 follows immediately from Propositions 6 and 7 by considering the sequences $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ with $\varphi_j = \varphi$ $(j \geq 1)$ and $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ with $\alpha_j = \alpha$ $(j \geq 1)$ for given $\varphi \in C(I)$ and $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{R}$. Theorem 4 is also obtained from the propositions as follows. For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f$ put

$$D_0 := \inf_{\mathcal{U}} \sup\{D(\nu) : \nu \in \mathcal{H}_f \cap \mathcal{U}\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all of the neighborhoods $\mathcal{U}$ of μ in $\mathcal{M}$. To show $\dim_H(B(\mu)) \geq D_0$ we assume that $D_0 > 0$, otherwise it is trivial. Choose a sequence $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots \in C(I)$ to be dense in $C(I)$. Then for any $\gamma > 0$ taking large $p \geq 1$ and small $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim_H(B(\mu)) \\
&\quad \leq \dim_H \left\{ x \in I : \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} S_n \varphi_j(x) = \int \varphi_j d\mu \ \ (j = 1, 2, \ldots, p) \right\} \\
&\quad \leq \sup \left\{ D(\nu) : \nu \in \mathcal{H}_f, \ \ |\int \varphi_j d\nu - \int \varphi_j d\mu| < \varepsilon \ \ (j = 1, 2, \ldots, p) \right\} \\
&\quad \leq D_0 + \gamma
\end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 6. Letting $\gamma \to 0$ we obtain

$$\dim_H(B(\mu)) \leq D_0. \tag{2}$$

Moreover, taking a sequence $\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{H}_f$ such that

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} D(\nu_p) = D_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{p\to\infty} \max_{1\leq j\leq p} |\int \varphi_j d\nu_p - \int \varphi_j d\mu| = 0$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3) \quad &\dim_H(B(\mu)) \\
&\quad = \dim_H \left\{ x \in I : \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} S_n \varphi_j(x) = \int \varphi_j d\mu \ \ (j = 1, 2, \ldots) \right\} \\
&\quad \geq \limsup_{p\to\infty} D(\nu_p) \quad = D_0
\end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 7. Combining (2) and (3) we obtain

$$\dim_H(B(\mu)) = D_0 = \inf_{\mathcal{U}} \sup\{D(\nu) : \nu \in \mathcal{H}_f \cap \mathcal{U}\},$$

and hence Theorem 4. For the proof of Theorem 5 we need the following:

Proposition 8. *Let* $\varphi \in C(I)$ *and* $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{H}_f$ *with* $\int \varphi d\mu_1 \neq \int \varphi d\mu_2$. *Then*

$$\dim_H(I_\varphi) \geq \min\{D(\mu_1), D(\mu_2)\}.$$

Theorem 5 is obtained from Proposition 8 as follows. We claim that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{H}_f$ with $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ such that $D(\mu_i) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ for $i = 1, 2$. In fact, taking $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{H}_f$ as an absolutely continuous invariant probability measure we have

$$D(\mu_1) = h_1/\lambda_1 = 1,$$

where $h_1 := h_{\mu_1}(f)$ and $\lambda_1 := \lambda_{\mu_1}(f)$, respectively. To obtain μ_2 fix a small number $\gamma > 0$ such that $(h_1 - 2\gamma)/(\lambda_1 + 2\gamma) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$, and $\nu \in \mathcal{H}_f$ such that $\nu \neq \mu_1$. Let $\nu_t := t\nu + (1-t)\mu_1 \in \mathcal{M}_f$ for $0 < t < 1$. Then ν_t is a hyperbolic measure with positive metric entropy such that $\nu_t \neq \mu_1$ for all t. Moreover,

$$h_{\nu_t}(f) \geq h_1 - \gamma, \quad \int \log|f'| d\nu_t \leq \lambda_1 + \gamma$$

holds if $t > 0$ is sufficiently small. By lemma 2 there is $\mu_2 \in \mathcal{H}_f$ sufficiently close to ν_t, and then $\mu_2 \neq \mu_1$, such that

$$h_2 \geq h_{\nu_t}(f) - \gamma \geq h_1 - 2\gamma$$

and

$$\lambda_2 \leq \int \log|f'| d\nu_t + \gamma \leq \lambda_1 + 2\gamma,$$

where $h_2 := h_{\mu_2}(f)$ and $\lambda_2 := \lambda_{\mu_2}(f)$, respectively. Then

$$D(\mu_2) = h_2/\lambda_2 \geq (h_1 - 2\gamma)/(\lambda_1 + 2\gamma) \geq 1 - \varepsilon,$$

and thus, the claim is established. Taking a continuous function $\varphi : I \to \boldsymbol{R}$ such that $\int \varphi d\mu_1 \neq \int \varphi d\mu_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_H(I \setminus QR(f)) &\geq \dim_H(I_\varphi) \\ &\geq \min\{D(\mu_1), D(\mu_2)\} \\ &\geq 1 - \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 8. Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ we obtain Theorem 5.

Remark. The assertion of Theorem 5 is valid without assuming the existence of a return time function satisfying (H1)-(H4), if the map $f : I \to I$ has an absolutely continuous invariant probability measure.

4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 6

We prepare a lemma for the proof of Proposition 6. Let $(Y, \mathcal{B}, m)$ be a finite measure space and $Y_1, \ldots, Y_l \in \mathcal{B}$ pairwise disjoint subsets of Y with positive measures. We consider a measurable map $g : \sqcup_{j=1}^{l} Y_j \to Y$ satisfying the following properties:

(1) for each $j = 1, \ldots, l$, $g_j := g|_{Y_j} : Y_j \to Y$ is a bi-nonsingular bijection;

(2) for any sequence $\{a_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ with $a_j \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$ $(j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$ the set $\cap_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_{a_0 \ldots a_{n-1}}$ consists of a single point, where

$$Y_{a_0 \cdots a_{n-1}} := Y_{a_0} \cap g^{-1} Y_{a_1} \cap \cdots \cap g^{-(n-1)} Y_{a_{n-1}};$$

(3) there are a version $\mathrm{Jac}(g) > 0$ of the Radon-Nikodym derivative $\frac{dm \circ g}{dm}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{J \in W_n} \sup_{x, y \in Y_J} |\log \mathrm{Jac}(g)(x) - \log \mathrm{Jac}(g)(y)| = 0$$

and $C \geq 1$, a distortion constant, such that for any integer $n \geq 1$ and $J \in W_n$

$$\frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{Jac}(g)(g^i(x))}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{Jac}(g)(g^i(y))} \leq C$$

holds whenever $x, y \in Y_J$, where W_n stands for the set of the words $J = (a_0 \cdots a_{n-1})$ of length n with $a_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.

Then we call $g : \mathit{\Lambda} \to \mathit{\Lambda}$ a *finite Markov system* induced by $(Y, \{Y_i\}_{i=1}^{l}, g)$, where $\mathit{\Lambda} := \cap_{n=0}^{\infty} g^{-n}(\sqcup_{j=1}^{l} Y_j)$. It is isomorphic to a full-shift of l-symbols, and the space of the probability measures supported on $\mathit{\Lambda}$ is compact. The following lemma obtained from a standard argument on the variatinal principle for pressure [19] is a generalization of Lemma 7 of [5].

Lemma 9. *For any $\delta \geq 0$ a finite Markov system $g : \mathit{\Lambda} \to \mathit{\Lambda}$ induced by $(Y, \{Y_j\}_{j=1}^{l}, g)$ with a distortion constant $C \geq 1$ has an invariant ergodic probability measure μ on $\mathit{\Lambda}$ such that*

$$h_\mu(g) - \delta \int \log \mathrm{Jac}(g) d\mu \geq \log \sum_{j=1}^{l} m(Y_j)^\delta - \delta \log(Cm(Y)).$$

Proof. For each $J = (a_0 \dots a_{n-1}) \in W_n$ and $p = 1, \dots, l$ we write $Y_{Jp} := Y_J \cap g^{-n} Y_p$. Then since

$$\frac{m(Y_{Jp})}{m(Y_J)} \geq \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \inf_{x \in Y_{a_0 \cdots a_{n-1} p}} \mathrm{Jac}(g)(g^i(x))^{-1} m(Y_p)}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \sup_{y \in Y_{a_0 \cdots a_{n-1}}} \mathrm{Jac}(g)(g^i(y))^{-1} m(Y)} \geq C^{-1} \frac{m(Y_p)}{m(Y)}$$

for each $p = 1, \dots, l$, we have

$$\frac{\sum_{p=1}^{l} m(Y_{Jp})^\delta}{m(Y_J)^\delta} \geq \frac{\sum_{p=1}^{l} m(Y_p)^\delta}{(Cm(Y))^\delta},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{J \in W_n} m(Y_J)^\delta &\geq \frac{\sum_{p=1}^{l} m(Y_p)^\delta}{(Cm(Y))^\delta} \cdot \sum_{J \in W_{n-1}} m(Y_J)^\delta \\ &\cdots \geq \left\{ \frac{\sum_{p=1}^{l} m(Y_p)^\delta}{(Cm(Y))^\delta} \right\}^{n-1} \cdot \sum_{J \in W_1} m(Y_J)^\delta \\ &= \left\{ \frac{\sum_{p=1}^{l} m(Y_p)^\delta}{(Cm(Y))^\delta} \right\}^{n} \cdot (Cm(Y))^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{J \in W_n} m(Y_J)^\delta \geq \log \sum_{j=1}^{l} m(Y_j)^\delta - \delta \log(Cm(Y)). \tag{4}$$

Also, taking $x_J \in Y_J \cap \Lambda$ for each $J \in W_n$ we obtain a sequence of probability measures supported on Λ by $\mu_n := \dfrac{1}{Z_n} \displaystyle\sum_{J \in W_n} m(Y_J)^\delta \delta_{x_J}^n$ for each $n \geq 1$, where $Z_n := \sum_{J \in W_n} m(Y_J)^\delta$ and $\delta_{x_J}^n := (\delta_{x_J} + \delta_{g(x_J)} + \cdots + \delta_{g^{n-1}(x_J)})/n$. Then an accumulation point μ of the sequence $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a g-invariant probability measure supported on Λ. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \sum_{J \in W_n} & m(Y_J)^\delta = \log Z_n \\
&= \sum_{J \in W_n} \mu_n(Y_J) \left\{ -\log \mu_n(Y_J) + \delta \log m(Y_J) \right\} \\
&\leq \sum_{J \in W_n} \mu_n(Y_J) \left\{ -\log \mu_n(Y_J) + \delta \log \left(C m(Y) \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{Jac}(g)(g^i(x_J))^{-1} \right) \right\} \\
&= -\sum_{J \in W_n} \mu_n(Y_J) \log \mu_n(Y_J) - n\delta \int \log \mathrm{Jac}(g) d\mu_n + \delta \log(C m(Y)),
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{J \in W_n} m(Y_J)^\delta \leq h_\mu(g) - \delta \int \log \mathrm{Jac}(g) d\mu. \tag{5}$$

Combining (4) and (5) we obtain

$$h_\mu(g) - \delta \int \log \mathrm{Jac}(g) d\mu \geq \log \sum_{j=1}^{l} m(Y_j)^\delta - \delta \log(C m(Y)).$$

The above μ can be taken as an ergodic measure by considering the ergodic decomposition if necessary. We have proved the lemma.

Now we prove Proposition 6. For given $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_p \in C(I)$, $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_p \in \boldsymbol{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we set

$$\delta_0 := \sup{}^+ \left\{ D(\nu) : \nu \in \mathcal{H}_f, \left| \int \varphi_j d\nu - \alpha_j \right| < \varepsilon \ \ (j = 1, 2, \ldots, p) \right\} \in [0, 1].$$

and show that

$$\dim_H \left\{ x \in I : \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} S_n \varphi_j(x) = \alpha_j \ \ (j = 1, 2, \ldots, p) \right\} \leq \delta_0. \tag{6}$$

Remark that

$$h_\nu(f) - \delta_0 \lambda_\nu(f) \leq 0 \tag{7}$$

holds whenever $\nu \in \mathcal{H}_f$ satisfies $\left| \int \varphi_j d\nu - \alpha_j \right| < \varepsilon$ $(j = 1, 2, \ldots, p)$ by the definition of $D(\nu)$. Since $f : I \to I$ is topologically mixing, taking an integer $k \geq 1$ such that $f^k(J) = I$ for the base set J of the return time function, we have

$$f^k\Big(\Big\{x \in J : \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} S_n\varphi_j(x) = \alpha_j \ \ (j = 1, 2, \dots, p)\Big\}\Big)$$
$$= \Big\{x \in I : \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} S_n\varphi_j(x) = \alpha_j \ \ (j = 1, 2, \dots, p)\Big\},$$

and from which it follows that

$$\dim_H \Big\{x \in I : \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} S_n\varphi_j(x) = \alpha_j \ \ (j = 1, 2, \dots, p)\Big\}$$
$$= \dim_H \Big\{x \in J : \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} S_n\varphi_j(x) = \alpha_j \ \ (j = 1, 2, \dots, p)\Big\},$$

because $f^k : I \to I$ is smooth. Moreover,

$$\dim_H \Big\{x \in J : \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} S_n\varphi_j(x) = \alpha_j \ \ (j = 1, 2, \dots, p)\Big\} \subset \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \mathit{\Gamma}_N$$

holds, where

$$\mathit{\Gamma}_N := \Big\{x \in J : |\frac{1}{n} S_n\varphi_j(x) - \alpha_j| < \varepsilon/2 \ \ (j = 1, 2, \dots, p, \ \ n \geq N)\Big\}.$$

Thus for the inequality (6) it is enough to show that

$$H^{\delta_0}(\mathit{\Gamma}_N) < \infty \tag{8}$$

for each integer $N \geq 1$, where H^{δ_0} denotes the δ_0-dimensinal Hausdorff outer measure. For an integer $n \geq 1$ let $\mathcal{A}_n$ be a finite partition of the base set J such that $A \in \mathcal{A}_n$ iff A is a connected component of

$$\{x \in J : R(x) = k_1, \ \ R(f^{k_1}(x)) = k_2, \ \ \dots \ \ ,$$
$$R(f^{k_1+\cdots+k_{l-2}}(x)) = k_{l-1}, \ \ R(f^{k_1+\cdots+k_{l-1}}(x)) \geq k_l\}$$

for some integers $k_1, \dots, k_l \geq 1$ with $k_1 + \cdots + k_l = n$, and $\mathcal{D}_n$ a family of compact intervals such that $B \in \mathcal{D}_n$ iff B is a connected component of

$$\{x \in J : R(x) = k_1, \ \ R(f^{k_1}(x)) = k_2, \ \ \dots \ \ , \ \ R(f^{k_1+\cdots+k_{l-1}}(x)) = k_l\}$$

for some integers $k_1, \dots, k_l \geq 1$ with $k_1 + \cdots + k_l = n$. Set

$$\mathcal{B}_n := \Big\{A \in \mathcal{A}_n : |\frac{1}{n} S_n\varphi_j(x_A) - \alpha_j| < \varepsilon/2 \ \ (j = 1, 2, \dots, p)$$
$$\text{for some } x_A \in A\Big\}.$$

Then we have

$$\mathit{\Gamma}_N \subset \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} \bigsqcup_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n} A. \tag{9}$$

Putting

$$\mathcal{B}^*_{n,s} := \{B \in \mathcal{D}_{n+s} : B \subset A \text{ for some } A \in \mathcal{B}_n\}$$

for each $s \geq 1$, we obtain

$$|A| \leq C{\gamma_0}^{-1} \sum_{s=0}^{l_0-1} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}^*_{n,s}, B \subset A} |B|$$

for each $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$ from the assumptions (H3) and (H4) for the return time function. Then, since $0 \leq \delta_0 \leq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=0}^{l_0-1} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}^*_{n,s}} |B|^{\delta_0} &= \sum_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n} \sum_{s=0}^{l_0-1} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}^*_{n,s}, B \subset A} |B|^{\delta_0} \\ &\geq \sum_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n} \Big(\sum_{s=0}^{l_0-1} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}^*_{n,s}, B \subset A} |B| \Big)^{\delta_0} \\ &\geq C^{-\delta_0} {\gamma_0}^{\delta} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n} |A|^{\delta_0}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}^*_{n,s}} |B|^{\delta_0} \geq {l_0}^{-1} C^{-\delta_0} {\gamma_0}^{\delta_0} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n} |A|^{\delta_0} \tag{10}$$

holds some integer $s = s(n)$ with $0 \leq s \leq l_0 - 1$. Also, from the definition of $\mathcal{B}^*_{n,s}$ we have

$$|\frac{1}{n+s} S_{n+s}\varphi_j(x) - \alpha_j| < \varepsilon \;\; (j = 1, 2, \ldots, p) \tag{11}$$

for all $x \in B$ with $B \in \mathcal{B}^*_{n,s}$ if n is large. Since $(J, \mathcal{B}^*_{n,s}, f^{n+s})$ is a finite Markov system with the distortion constant C, by Lemma 9 there is an f^{n+s}-invariant ergodic probability measure μ_n supported on $\Lambda_n := \cap_{k=0}^{\infty} f^{-(n+s)k}(\sqcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}^*_{n,s}} B)$ such that

$$h_{\mu_n}(f^{n+s}) - \delta_0 \int \log|(f^{n+s})'| d\mu_n \geq \log \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}^*_{n,s}} |B|^{\delta_0} - \delta_0 \log(C|J|).$$

Let

$$\nu_n := \frac{1}{n+s} \sum_{i=0}^{n+s-1} \mu_n \circ f^{-i} \in \mathcal{M}_f.$$

Then it is ergodic, and supported on $X_n := \cup_{i=0}^{n+s-1} f^i(\Lambda_n)$. The set X_n is a repeller for f by the assumption (H1), and hence $\nu_n \in \mathcal{H}_f$. Moreover, by (11) we have

$$|\int \varphi_j d\nu_n - \alpha_j| \leq \max_{B \in \mathcal{B}^*_{n,s}} \max_{x \in B} |\frac{1}{n+s} S_{n+s}\varphi_j(x) - \alpha_j| < \varepsilon$$

for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, p$, and then by (7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(12)\quad \log \sum_{B\in\mathcal{B}^*_{n,s}} |B|^{\delta_0} &\le h_{\mu_n}(f^{n+s}) - \delta_0 \int \log|(f^{n+s})'|d\mu_n + \delta_0\log(C|J|)\\ &= (n+s)(h_{\nu_n}(f) - \delta_0 \int \log|f'|d\nu_n) + \delta_0 \log(C|J|)\\ &\le \delta_0 \log(C|J|).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\max_{A\in\mathcal{B}_n} |A| \le \varepsilon_n \to 0$ as $n\to\infty$ by the assumption (H2), combining (9), (10) and (12) we have

$$\begin{aligned}H^{\delta_0}(\mathit{\Gamma}_N) &\le \limsup_{n\to\infty} \sum_{A\in\mathcal{B}_n} |A|^{\delta_0}\\ &\le l_0(C^2{\gamma_0}^{-1}|J|)^{\delta_0} \quad < \infty\end{aligned}$$

holds for all $N \ge 1$. We have thus obtained (8), and hence Proposition 6.

5. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 7

Let $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset C(I), \{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \boldsymbol{R}$ and $\{\nu_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{H}_f$ be as in Proposition 7. Taking a subsequences if necessary, we may assume that $D_p := D(\nu_p)$ $(p = 1, 2, \ldots)$ is a sequence of positive numbers which converges to some number $D_0 \in [0, 1]$ as $p \to \infty$ and

$$\beta_p := \max_{1\le j\le p} |\int \varphi_j d\nu_p - \alpha_j| \in (0,1) \;\; (p = 1, 2, \ldots)$$

a decreasing sequence to zero, respectively. Then for each $p \ge 1$ we have $\lambda_p \ge h_p > 0$ holds by the Ruelle inequality, where $\lambda_p := \lambda_{\nu_p}(f)$ and $h_p := h_{\nu_p}(f)$. Take a sequence $\{\gamma_p\}_{p=1}^\infty$ of positive small numbers such that

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \frac{h_p - \gamma_p}{\lambda_p + 2\gamma_p} = D_0.$$

Then for each integer $p \ge 1$ by Proposition 1 there are an integer $k_p \ge 1$, a compact interval $L_p \subset I$ and a family $\mathcal{K}_p$ of pairwise disjoint compact intervals with $K \subset L_p = f^{k_p}(K)$ for all $K \in \mathcal{K}_p$ such that

$$(\log \sharp\mathcal{K}_p)/k_p \ge h_p - \gamma_p, \quad |\frac{1}{k_p}\log|(f^{k_p})'(x)| - \lambda_p| \le \gamma_p$$

and

$$|\frac{1}{k_p} S_{k_p}\varphi_j(x) - \int \varphi_j d\mu_p| \le \beta_p \quad (j = 1, \ldots, p)$$

for all $x \in \sqcup_{K\in\mathcal{K}_p} K$. Taking some iterations of the map f if neccesary, we assume that $f^{k_p}(L_p) = I$ without loss of generality. Choose a sequence $\{q_p\}_{p=1}^\infty$ of positive integers such that q_p is so large as compared with $q_1, \ldots, q_{p-1}, k_1, \ldots, k_{p+1}$ for each $p \ge 1$, and put $n_p := (q_1 + 1)k_1 + \cdots + (q_p + 1)k_p$ for $p \ge 0$. For an integer $l \ge 1$ take a pair of integers

$p = p(l) \geq 0$ and $s = s(l)$ with $1 \leq s \leq q_{p+1}$ such that $l = q_1 + \cdots + q_p + s$, and set

$$\mathcal{S}(l) := \{(K_1, \ldots, K_l) : K_i \in \mathcal{K}_r \text{ if } t_{r-1} < i \leq t_r \\ \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, l\}$$

where $t_r = q_1 + \cdots + q_r$ for each integer $r \geq 0$. For each $(K_1, \ldots, K_l) \in \mathcal{S}(l)$ take an interval $Q(K_1, \ldots, K_l)$ inductively as follows. Let $Q(K) = K$ for $K \in \mathcal{K}_1$, and choose a compact interval $Q(K_1, \ldots, K_l) \subset Q(K_1, \ldots, K_{l-1})$ such that

$$f^{n_{p-1}+(s-1)k_p} Q(K_1, \ldots, K_l) = K_l$$

if $Q(K_1, \ldots, K_{l-1})$ has been defined. Set

$$\mathcal{Q}(l) := \{Q := Q(K_1, \ldots, K_l) : (K_1, \ldots, K_l) \in \mathcal{S}(l)\}.$$

Then it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \sharp\mathcal{Q}(l) = \sharp\mathcal{S}(l) &= (\sharp\mathcal{K}_1)^{q_1} \cdots (\sharp\mathcal{K}_p)^{q_p} \cdot (\sharp\mathcal{K}_{p+1})^s \\ &\geq (\sharp\mathcal{K}_p)^{q_p} \cdot (\sharp\mathcal{K}_{p+1})^s \\ &\geq \exp\{q_p k_p (h_p - \gamma_p) + s k_{p+1}(h_{p+1} - \gamma_{p+1})\}. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Put $m_l := n_p + (s+1)k_{p+1}$, where integers $p \geq 1$ and s with $1 \leq s \leq q_p$ are chosen so that $q_1 + \cdots + q_{p-1} + s = l$. Then for each $Q \in \mathcal{Q}(l)$,

$$f^{m_l}(Q) = f^{k_{p+1}}(L_{p+1}) = I$$

holds by the definition of $\mathcal{Q}(l)$. And, since q_p is so large as compared with $q_1, \ldots, q_{p-1}$ and $k_1, \ldots, k_{p+1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(f^{m_l})'(x)| &= \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{p} |(f^{(q_i+1)k_i})'(f^{n_{i-1}}(x))| \Big) \cdot |(f^{(s+1)k_{p+1}})'(f^{n_p}(x))| \\ &\leq \exp\Big\{ \sum_{i=1}^{p} q_i k_i (\lambda_i + \gamma_i) + s k_{p+1}(\lambda_{p+1} + \gamma_{p+1}) \Big\} \cdot \max_{y \in I} |f'(y)|^{k_1 + \cdots + k_{p+1}} \\ &\leq \exp\{q_p k_p (\lambda_p + 2\gamma_p) + s k_{p+1}(\lambda_{p+1} + 2\gamma_{p+1})\} \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $x \in Q$. Then by the mean value theorem we get

$$|Q| \geq |I| \cdot \exp\{-q_p k_p (\lambda_p + 2\gamma_p) - s k_{p+1}(\lambda_{p+1} + 2\gamma_{p+1})\} \tag{14}$$

for all $Q \in \mathcal{Q}(l)$ where $p \geq 0$ and s with $1 \leq s \leq q_{p+1}$ are integers such that $q_1 + \cdots + q_p + s = l$. Moreover, for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, p$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|S_{m_l}\varphi_j(x) - &m_l\alpha_j| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^{p} \Big\{ |S_{q_i k_i}\varphi_j(f^{n_{i-1}}(x)) - q_i k_i \int \varphi_j d\nu_i| \\
&\qquad\qquad + |q_i k_i \int \varphi_j d\nu_i - q_i k_i \alpha_j| + 2k_i \max_{y \in I} |\varphi_j(y) - \alpha_j| \Big\} \\
&\qquad + |S_{sk_{p+1}}\varphi_j(f^{n_p}(x)) - sk_{p+1} \int \varphi_j d\nu_{p+1}| \\
&\qquad\qquad + |sk_{p+1} \int \varphi_j d\nu_{p+1} - sk_{p+1}\alpha_j| + 2k_{p+1} \max_{y \in I} |\varphi_j(y) - \alpha_j| \\
&\leq 2\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{p} q_i k_i \beta_i + sk_{p+1}\beta_{p+1} + \max_{y \in I} |\varphi_j(y) - \alpha_j| \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} k_i \Big) \\
&\leq 4(q_p k_p \beta_p + sk_{p+1}\beta_{p+1}) \quad \leq 4m_l\beta_p,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$|\frac{1}{m_l} S_{m_l}\varphi_j(x) - \alpha_j| \leq 4\beta_p \tag{15}$$

holds for all $x \in Q$ whenever $Q \in \mathcal{Q}(l)$. Let

$$\mathit{\Gamma} := \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bigsqcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}(l)} Q.$$

Then by (15) for any integer $j \geq 1$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} S_n \varphi_j(x) = \alpha_j$$

holds whenever $x \in \mathit{\Gamma}$. Thus, it is enough to show

$$\dim_H(\mathit{\Gamma}) \geq D_0$$

for the proof of the proposition, and it follows from the existence of a probability measure μ_0 with $\mu_0(\mathit{\Gamma}) > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{r \to 0} \frac{\log \mu_0([x - r, x + r])}{\log r} \geq D_0 \tag{16}$$

for all $x \in \mathit{\Gamma}$. For each integer $l \geq 1$ and $Q \in \mathcal{Q}(l)$ take a point $x_Q \in Q \cap \mathit{\Gamma}$ and define a probability measure $\mu_l := \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}(l)} \delta_{x_Q} / \sharp\mathcal{Q}(l)$ on I. Then, $\mu_l(\mathit{\Gamma}) = 1$ holds. Let μ_0 be an accumulation point of $\{\mu_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathcal{M}$. Notice that $\mu_0(\mathit{\Gamma}) = 1$ and that for any $Q \in \mathcal{Q}(l)$,

$$\mu_n(Q) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sharp\{R \in \mathcal{Q}(n) : R \subset Q\} / \sharp\mathcal{Q}(n) = 1/\sharp\mathcal{Q}(l),$$

holds whenever $n \geq l$, and hence

$$\mu_0(Q) = 1/\sharp\mathcal{Q}(l).$$

Now we show that the inequality (16) holds for $x \in \mathit{\Gamma}$. For any small $r > 0$ take a pair of integers $p \geq 0$ and s with $1 \leq s \leq q_{p+1}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}|I| \cdot \exp\{-q_p k_p(\lambda_p + 2\gamma_p) - (s+1)k_{p+1}(\lambda_{p+1} + 2\gamma_{p+1})\} < r \\ \leq |I| \cdot \exp\{-q_p k_p(\lambda_p + 2\gamma_p) - s k_{p+1}(\lambda_{p+1} + 2\gamma_{p+1})\},\end{aligned}$$

and let $l = q_1 + \cdots + q_p + s$. Then by (14) for any interval $Q \in \mathcal{Q}(l)$

$$|Q| \geq |I| \cdot \exp\{-q_p k_p(\lambda_p + 2\gamma_p) - s k_{p+1}(\lambda_{p+1} + 2\gamma_{p+1})\} \geq r,$$

and hence the interval $[x - r, x + r]$ intersects with at most 3 elements of $Q(l)$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_0([x - r, x + r]) &\leq 3/\sharp\mathcal{Q}(l) \\ &\leq 3\exp\{-q_p k_p(h_p - \gamma_p) - s k_{p+1}(h_{p+1} - \gamma_{p+1})\}\end{aligned}$$

by (13), and from which

$$\frac{\log \mu_0([x - r, x + r])}{\log r} \geq \frac{q_p k_p(h_p - \gamma_p) + s k_{p+1}(h_{p+1} - \gamma_{p+1}) - \log 3}{q_p k_p(\lambda_p + 2\gamma_p) + (s+1)k_{p+1}(\lambda_{p+1} + 2\gamma_{p+1}) - \log |I|}.$$

Since $p \to \infty$ as $r \to 0$ we have

$$\liminf_{r \to 0} \frac{\log \mu_0([x - r, x + r])}{\log r} \geq \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{h_p - \gamma_p}{\lambda_p + 2\gamma_p} = D_0.$$

We have thus obtained the inequality (16), and hence Proposition 7.

6. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 8

Let $\varphi \in C(I), \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{H}_f$ and assume that

$$\beta := |\int \varphi d\mu_1 - \int \varphi d\mu_2| > 0.$$

Then by Proposition 1 for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $i = 1, 2$ there are an integer $k_i \geq 1$, a compact interval $L_i \subset I$ and a family $\mathcal{K}_i$ of pairwise disjoint compact intervals with $K \subset L_i = f^{k_i}(K)$ for each $K \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$(\log \sharp\mathcal{K}_i)/k_i \geq h_i - \varepsilon, \quad |\frac{1}{k_i} \log |(f^{k_i})'(x)| - \lambda_i| \leq \varepsilon$$

and

$$|\frac{1}{k_i} S_{k_i}\varphi(x) - \int \varphi d\mu_i| \leq \beta/8$$

for all $x \in \sqcup_{K \in \mathcal{K}_i} K$, where $h_i := h_{\mu_i}(f)$ and $\lambda_i := \lambda_{\mu_i}(f)$ for $i = 1, 2$, respectively. Taking some iterations of the map f if necessary, we assume that $k_1 = k_2$ and that $f^{k_1}L_i = I$ for $i = 1, 2$ without loss of generality. Choose an increasing sequence $\{q_p\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ of positive integers such that q_p is so large as compared with $q_1, \ldots, q_{p-1}$ for $p \geq 2$, and set $n_p := k_1 \sum_{i=1}^{p}(q_i + 1)$ for each integer $p \geq 0$. For an integer $l \geq 1$ there is a pair of integers

$p = p(l) \geq 0$ and $s = s(l)$ with $1 \leq s \leq q_{p+1}$ such that $l = q_1 + \cdots + q_p + s$. Then we put

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}(l) := \{(K_1, \ldots, K_l) : \ & K_i \in \mathcal{K}_1 \text{ if } t_{2m} < i \leq t_{2m+1}, \\ & K_i \in \mathcal{K}_2 \text{ if } t_{2m+1} < i \leq t_{2(m+1)} \\ & \qquad \text{for some } m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\},\end{aligned}$$

where $t_n := \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j$ for $n \geq 0$, and take a family

$$\mathcal{Q}(l) := \{Q(K_1, \ldots, K_l) : (K_1, \ldots, K_l) \in \mathcal{S}(l)\}$$

of pairwise disjoint compact intervals $Q(K_1, \ldots, K_l)$ inductively chosen as in the proof of Proposition 7 so that $Q(K_1, \ldots, K_l) \subset Q(K_1, \ldots, K_{l-1})$ and $f^{n_p+(s-1)k_1}(Q(K_1, \ldots, K_l)) = K_l$ hold for each $(K_1, \ldots, K_l) \in \mathcal{S}(l)$. Then it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}\sharp\mathcal{Q}(l) = \sharp\mathcal{S}(l) \quad &= (\sharp\mathcal{K}_1)^{q_1} \cdots (\sharp\mathcal{K}_p)^{q_p} \cdot (\sharp\mathcal{K}_{p+1})^{s} \\ &\geq (\sharp\mathcal{K}_p)^{q_p} \cdot (\sharp\mathcal{K}_{p+1})^{s} \\ &\geq \exp[k\{q_p(h_p - \varepsilon) + s(h_{p+1} - \varepsilon)\}],\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{K}_{2m-1} := \mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_{2m} := \mathcal{K}_2, h_{2m-1} := h_1$ and $h_{2m} := h_2$, respectively for each integer $m \geq 1$. For any $Q \in \mathcal{Q}(l)$ we have $f^{n_p+(s+1)k_1}(Q) = I$. And since q_p is so large as compared with $q_1, \ldots, q_{p-1}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}|(f^{n_p+(s+1)k_1})'(x)| &= \prod_{i=1}^{p} |(f^{(q_i+1)k_1})'(f^{n_{i-1}}(x))| \cdot |(f^{(s+1)k_1})'(f^{n_p}(x))| \\ &\leq \exp\Big[k_1\Big\{\sum_{i=1}^{p} q_i(\lambda_i + \varepsilon) + s(\lambda_{p+1} + \varepsilon) + (p+1)\max_{y \in I} \log|f'(y)|\Big\}\Big] \\ &\leq \exp[k_1\{q_p(\lambda_p + 2\varepsilon) + s(\lambda_{p+1} + 2\varepsilon)\}],\end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_{2m-1} = \lambda_1$ and $\lambda_{2m} = \lambda_2$, respectively for all integers $m \geq 1$. Then by the mean value theorem we obtain

$$|Q| \geq |I| \cdot \exp[-k_1\{q_p(\lambda_p + 2\varepsilon) + s(\lambda_{p+1} + 2\varepsilon)\}].$$

Let

$$\mathit{\Gamma} := \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bigsqcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}(l)} Q.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|S_{n_{2m-1}}\varphi(x) - n_{2m-1}\int \varphi d\mu_1| \\
&\qquad \le |S_{n_{2m-2}}\varphi(x) - n_{2m-2}\int \varphi d\mu_1| \\
&\qquad\quad + |S_{(q_{2m-1}+1)k_1}\varphi(f^{n_{2m-2}}(x)) - (q_{2m-1}+1)k_1\int \varphi d\mu_1| \\
&\qquad \le 2(n_{2m-2}+k_1)\sup_{y\in I}|\varphi(y)| + q_{2m-1}k_1\beta/8 \\
&\qquad \le q_{2m-1}k_1\beta/4 \quad \le n_{2m-1}\beta/4,
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$|S_{n_{2m}}\varphi(x) - n_{2m}\int \varphi d\mu_2| \le n_{2m}\beta/4$$

for all $x \in \mathit{\Gamma}$ and integers $m \ge 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}S_n\varphi(x) - \liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}S_n\varphi(x) \\
&\qquad \ge |\int \varphi d\mu_1 - \int \varphi d\mu_2| \\
&\qquad\quad - \limsup_{m\to\infty}|\frac{1}{n_{2m-1}}S_{n_{2m-1}}\varphi(x) - \int \varphi d\mu_1| \\
&\qquad\quad - \limsup_{m\to\infty}|\frac{1}{n_{2m}}S_{n_{2m}}\varphi(x) - \int \varphi d\mu_2| \\
&\qquad \ge \beta - \beta/4 - \beta/4 \quad = \beta/2
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathit{\Gamma}$. This implies that $\mathit{\Gamma} \subset I_\varphi$. To give a lower estimate of the Hausdorff dimension of $\mathit{\Gamma}$ take a sequence of the probability measures $\nu_l := \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}(l)}\delta_{x_Q}/\sharp\mathcal{Q}(l)$ $(l = 1, 2, \dots)$ such that $x_Q \in Q \cap \mathit{\Gamma}$ holds for each $Q \in \mathcal{Q}(l)$, and an accumulation point $\nu_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ of the sequence. Then in a similar way as in the proof of Proposition 7 it can be checked that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\liminf_{r\to 0}\frac{\log \nu_0([x-r, x+r])}{\log r} \\
&\qquad \ge \lim_{p\to\infty}\min_{1\le s\le q_{p+1}}\frac{k_1\{q_p(h_p-\varepsilon)+s(h_{p+1}-\varepsilon)\} - \log 3}{k_1\{q_p(\lambda_p+2\varepsilon)+(s+1)(\lambda_{p+1}+2\varepsilon)\} - \log|I|} \\
&\qquad = \min\left\{\frac{h_1-\varepsilon}{\lambda_1+2\varepsilon}, \frac{h_2-\varepsilon}{\lambda_2+2\varepsilon}\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathit{\Gamma}$. This implies that

$$\dim_H(I_\varphi) \ge \dim_H(\mathit{\Gamma}) \ge \min\left\{\frac{h_1-\varepsilon}{\lambda_1+2\varepsilon}, \frac{h_2-\varepsilon}{\lambda_2+2\varepsilon}\right\}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ we obtain

$$\dim_H(I_\varphi) \ge \min\{D(\mu_1), D(\mu_2)\}.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 8.

Remark. The existence of a return time function satisfying (H1)-(H4) is unnecessary for Propositions 1,7 and 8. The assertions of these propositions are valid for any topologically mixing C^2 map on a compact interval.

References

[1] L. Barreira and J. Schmeling, *Sets of "non-typical" points have full Hausdorff dimension and full topological entropy*, Israel J. Math. **116** (2000), 29–70.

[2] R. Bowen, *Hausdorff dimension of quasi-circles*, Publ. Math. IHES **50** (1979), 259–273.

[3] H. Bruin and G. Keller, *Equilibrium states for S-unimodal maps*, Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys. **18** (1998), 765–789.

[4] Y.M. Chung, *Shadowing property of non-invertible maps with hyperbolic measures*, Tokyo J. Math. **22** (1999), 145–166.

[5] Y.M. Chung, *Recurrence times and large deviations*, preprint.

[6] F. Hofbauer, *Local dimension for piecewise monotone maps on the interval*, Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys. **15** (1995), 1119–1142.

[7] G. Iommi, *Multifractal analysis for countable Markov shifts*, Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys. **25** (2005), 1881–1907.

[8] A. Katok, *Lyapunov exponents, entropy and periodic orbits for diffeomorphisms*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. **51** (1980), 137–173.

[9] A. Katok and L. Mendoza, *Dynamical systems with nonuniformly hyperbolic behavior*, supplement to "Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems" written by A. Katok and B. Hasselblatt, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995, pp 659–700.

[10] F. Ledrappier, *Some relations between dimension and Lyapunov exponents*, Commun. Math. Phys. **81** (1981), 229–238.

[11] K. Nakaishi, *Multifractal formalism for some parabolic maps*, Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys. **20** (2000), 843–857.

[12] L. Olsen, *Multifractal analysis of divergence points of deformed measure theoretical Birkhoff averages*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **82** (2003), 1591–1649.

[13] Ya. Pesin, *Dimension Theory in Dynamical Systems*, Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1997.

[14] Ya. Pesin and H. Weiss, *A multifractal analysis of equlibrium measures for conformal expanding maps and Moran-like geometric constructions*, J. Stat. Phys. **86** (1997), 233–275.

[15] Ya. Pesin and H. Weiss, *The multifractal analysis of Birkhoff averages and large deviations*, in "Global analysis of dynamical systems", edited by H. W. Broer, B. Krauskopf and G. Vegter Inst. Phys., Bristol, 2001, pp 419–431.

[16] M. Pollicott and H. Weiss, *Multifractal analysis of Lyapunov exponent for continued fraction and Manneville-Pomeau transformations and applications to Diophantine approximation*, Commun. Math. Phys. **207** (1999), 145–171.

[17] D. Ruelle, *An inequality for the entropy of differentiable maps*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Math. **9** (1978), 83–87.

[18] F. Takens and E. Verbitskiy, *On the variational principle for the topological entropy of certain non-compact sets*, Ergod Th. Dynam. Sys. **23** (2003), 317–348.

[19] P. Walters, *An Introduction to Ergodic Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **79**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

[20] L.-S. Young, *Dimension, entropy and Lyapunov exponents*, Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys. **2** (1982), 109–124.

[21] L.-S. Young, *Statistical properties of dynamical systems with some hyperbolicity*, Ann. of Math. **147** (1998), 585–650.

Department of Applied Mathematics, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8527, Japan
Current address: Department of Mathematics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA
E-mail address: `chung@amath.hiroshima-u.ac.jp`